

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/027,744	12/20/2001	Joseph C. Walsh	PP 5.71(c)	4239
7590 05/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Michael A. Goodwin, Esq.			TAWFIK, SAMEH	
Klaas, Law, O'Meara & Malkin, P.C. Suite 2225			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1999 Broadway			3721	
Denver, CO 80202			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/027,744	WALSH, JOSEPH C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.	,			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-26,103 and 104</u> is/are pending in t	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-26,103 and 104</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)□ objected to by the Exar	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified of the copies of the prior application. 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	visional application has been rec	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/027,744

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 11 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,145,736. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nerenberg et al. (2,820,585).

Nerenberg discloses a process for making a dispensing assembly comprising carton means (1) having a dispensing opening (U shape 4) in a side wall (2) thereof, a pour spout means (6) mounted in the dispensing opening and including a front panel; a separate liner means in the carton (liner 10); bonding the liner means to the front panel whereby upon initial opening of the

Application/Control Number: 10/027,744

Art Unit: 3721

pour spout means a portion of the liner bonded to the front panel separates from the liner means providing access to the interior thereof, see for example (Figs. 3, 5, and 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nerenberg et al. (2,820,585).

Nerenberg does not disclose that the pour spout means front panel comprises a lamination of a paperboard material and plastic material nor a coating layer comprises polyethylene on the plastic material. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Nerenberg's pour spout means by having a lamination of a paperboard material and plastic material on the front panel of the pour spout and a coating layer comprises polyethylene on the plastic material, as a matter of engineering design choice, since the examiner takes an official notice that the mentioned lamination of a paperboard material and plastic material of pour spout and coating layer comprises polyethylene on the plastic material are old, well known, and available in the art, for example milk and juice carton containers, in order to protect the paperboard from the liquid.

Claims 103 and 104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nerenberg et al. (2,820,585) in view of Sternau (3,605,578).

Application/Control Number: 10/027,744

Art Unit: 3721

Nerenberg does not disclose a first nor a second wing portion attached to the front panel at a first and second fold line of the pour spout. However, Sternau discloses a similar process for making a dispensing assembly comprising a first and a second wing portion (Fig. 5; via wings 24 and 26) attached to the front panel at a first and second fold line of the pour spout (Figs. 1-3, 5, and 11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Nerenberg's process for making a dispensing assembly by having a first and a second wing portion attached to the front panel at a first and second fold line of the pour spout, as suggested by Sternau, in order to avoid undesired sifting of material from such boxes and simplify manufacturing procedures for such boxes (column 1, lines 45-46).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/027,744 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is (703) 308-2809. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (703) 308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST.

EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER